## EXHIBIT H

Case 3:06-cv-00482-MHT-VPM Document 5-9 Filed 05/26/2006 Page 2 of 2

Case 1:04-md-01 696 THED STATES OF AMERICA Filed 01/24/2006 Page 1 of 1 JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

CHAIRMAN: Judge Wm. Terrell Hodges United States District Court Middle District of Florida

MEMBERS: Judge John F. Keenan United States District Court Southern District of New York

Judge D. Lowell Jensen United States District Court Northern District of California

Judge J. Frederick Motz United States District Court District of Maryland Judge Robert L. Miller, Jr. United States District Court Northern District of Indiana

Judge Kathryn H. Vratil United States District Court District of Kansas

Judge David R. Hansen United States Court of Appeals Eighth Circuit

Robert A. Cahn Executive Attorney

DIRECT REPLY TO:

Michael J. Beck Clerk of the Panel One Columbus Circle, NE Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building Room G-255, North Lobby Washington, D.C. 20002

Telephone: [202] 502-2800 Fax: [202] 502-2888

January 20, 2006

IN GLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

JAN 2 4 2006

http://www.jpml.uscourts.gov

TO INVOLVED JUDGES

Re: MDL-1596-- In re Zyprexa Products Liability Litigation

P.M Latofia Crimes v. Eli Lilly & Co., et al., M.D. Alabama, C.A. No. 2:05, 1047. (Judge Mark E. Fuller)

Sanquirnetta McCray-Martin v. Eli Lilly & Cp., et al., M.D. Alabama, C.A. No. 2505-1048 (Judge Myron H. Thompson)

Dear Judges:

Presently before the Panel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 is a notice of opposition to the Panel's conditional transfer order in at least one action before you in the above-described docket. The parties will have an opportunity to fully brief the question of transfer and the matter will be considered at a bimonthly Panel hearing session. In the meantime, your jurisdiction continues until any transfer ruling becomes effective.

If you have a motion pending - such as a motion to remand to state court (if the action was removed to your court) - you are free to rule on the motion, of course, or wait until the Panel has decided the transfer issue. The latter course may be especially appropriate if the motion raises questions likely to arise in other actions in the transferee court and, in the interest of uniformity, might best be decided there if the Panel orders centralization.

Please feel free to contact our staff in Washington with any questions.

Kindest regards,

Wm. Terrell Hodges

Chairman